

J Christopher Jorgensen, Bar No. 5382
 CJorgensen@lewisroca.com
 Brittni A. Tanenbaum, Bar No. 16013
 BTanenbaum@lewisroca.com
LEWIS ROCA ROTHGERBER CHRISTIE LLP
 3993 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 600
 Las Vegas, NV 89169
 Tel: 702.949.8200
 Fax: 702.949.8398

Attorneys for Defendant Barclays Bank Delaware

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

William H. Ball,

 Plaintiff,

v.

BARCLAYCARD
 BARCLAYSUS
 A Delaware corporation,

 Defendant.

Case No. 2:23-cv-02019-JAD-BNW

**UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR
 EXTENSION OF TIME**

(THIRD REQUEST)

Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 6(b) and LR IA 6-1, Defendant Barclays Bank Delaware (“Barclays”), by counsel, respectfully requests a twenty-one (21) day enlargement of the time to respond to the Complaint of Plaintiff William H. Ball in this action (“Plaintiff”). In support thereof, Defendant states:

1. On November 7, 2023, Plaintiff filed his Complaint in the Eighth Judicial District Court in and for Clark County, Nevada.
2. On November 16, 2023, Barclays was served with the Complaint.
3. On December 7, 2023, Barclays timely removed the action to this Court. ECF No. 1.
4. Barclays’ current responsive pleading deadline is February 5, 2024. ECF No. 12.
5. The undersigned was just recently retained in this action, and requires additional time to investigate all relevant matters, ascertain the veracity of the averments in Plaintiff’s Complaint, analyze and ascertain any and all affirmative and other defenses, and otherwise submit a proper response to the Complaint. In addition, the requested enlargement of time will permit

1 Defendant to identify the account and complete its research. Further, the parties are in active
 2 negotiations for possible resolution of this action. For these reasons, Defendant respectfully
 3 requests a twenty-one (21) day extension of time -- i.e., through and including February 26, 2024 -
 4 - within which to respond to Plaintiff's Complaint.

5 6. This motion is made in good faith and not for purposes of undue delay. This is
 6 Defendant's third extension request. No party will be prejudiced by the relief sought.

7 7. Counsel for Defendant conferred with Plaintiff regarding the extension of time
 8 requested herein, who confirmed that Plaintiff does not oppose the relief sought.

9 WHEREFORE, Defendant Barclays Bank Delaware respectfully requests that the Court
 10 grant this Unopposed Motion and extend the time for Barclays Bank Delaware to respond to the
 11 Complaint by and through February 26, 2024.

12 DATED this 31st day of January, 2024.

13 LEWIS ROCA ROTHGERBER CHRISTIE LLP

14 By: /s/ Brittini A. Tanenbaum

15 J Christopher Jorgensen (SBN: 5382)
 16 Brittini A. Tanenbaum (SBN: 16013)
 17 3993 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 600
 18 Las Vegas, NV 89169

19 *Attorneys for Defendant Barclays Bank Delaware*

20 **ORDER**

21 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

22 
 23 _____
 24 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

25 DATED: 2/1/2024

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that I served a true and correct copy of the foregoing **UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME** on this 31st day of January, 2024, by depositing said copies in the United States Mail, postage prepaid thereon, and via electronic mail upon the following:

William H. Ball
P.O. Box 90051
Henderson, Nevada 89009
951-293-9498
Billball49@verizon.net
Plaintiff Pro-se

/s/ Stella Yuan
An employee of Lewis Roca Rothgerber Christie